

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/039,527	11/07/2001 90 09/22/2003	Donald K. Wright	021276-9053-03 8833		
Suite 1700	& Friedrich LLC		EXAMINER PIAZZA CORCORAN, GLADYS JOSEFINA		
	401 North Michigan Avenue Chicago, IL 60611		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1733	FAFER NUMBER	
			DATE MAILED: 09/22/2003	DATE MAILED: 09/22/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			///
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	K
	10/039,527	WRIGHT ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Gladys J Piazza Corcoran	1733	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Peri d for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	he correspondence address	-
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl' - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status		pe timely filed) days will be considered timely. from the mailing date of this communication ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	on.
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u>_</u> .		
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Th	is action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allows closed in accordance with the practice under			is
Disposition of Claims 4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application			
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>15-21</u> is/are withdray			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	With our bondactation.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.		
Application Papers	·		
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accept	,		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		·	
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on		proved by the Examiner.	
If approved, corrected drawings are required in rej	•		
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11	9(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) All b) Some * c) None of:	- h h ()		
1. Certified copies of the priority document			
2. Continued the position of the priority documents	•••		
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priorapplication from the International Bu* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	5	
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	c priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19(e) (to a provisional applica	tion).
 a) The translation of the foreign language pro 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest 			
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 3	5) Notice of Inform	mary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) nal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Art Unit: 1733

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-14, drawn to a method of making a reclosable plastic bag and a method of sealing a portion of a zipper to a web, classified in class 156, subclass 66.
- II. Claims 15-21, drawn to an apparatus for sealing a portion of a zipper tape to a web section, classified in class 156, subclass 538.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

2. Inventions I and II are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the process as claimed can be practiced by a materially different apparatus for example, the process of claim 1 does not require an elevator with a platform, a means for depositing a zipper tape on the platform, or a sealing head; and the process of claim 6 does not require a means for depositing the zipper tape on the platform as required by the apparatus claims. Additionally, the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process such as the sealing of two webs or the sealing of a ribbon tape to a web. It is noted, rejoinder will be considered upon indication of allowable subject matter and the basis thereof.

Art Unit: 1733

3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

- 4. During a telephone conversation with Robert S. Beiser on September 9, 2003 a provisional election was made with traverse to prosecute the invention of Group I, claims 1-14. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claims 15-21 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.
- 5. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Specification

6. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: The Specification should include a brief description of all the drawings, currently there is no description for the Figure 11A.

Brief Description of the Several Views of the Drawing(s): See MPEP § 608.01(f). A reference to and brief description of the drawing(s) as set forth in 37 CFR 1.74.

Appropriate correction is required.

Art Unit: 1733

Claim Objections

7. Claims 6-14 are objected to because of the following informalities: Claim 6 recites "An method" which should be --A method--. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 8. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 9. Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 10. Claim 1 recites in the preamble, "A method of making a reclosable plastic bag." However, the body of the claim does not complete the steps of making a reclosable bag. It is suggested to amend the claim to include the steps of sealing the selected portion of the zipper tape to a web to form a reclosable plastic bag or forming a reclosable plastic bag with the sealed zipper tape and web material.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

11. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Application/Control Number: 10/039,527

Art Unit: 1733

12. Claims 6-12, 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Blohowiak et al. (US Patent No. 6,003,582).

Blohowiak discloses a method for sealing a portion of a zipper tape (170) to a web (28) by providing an elevator (turret 308) having a platform (326) for receiving the portion of zipper tape (column 8, lines 7-46), depositing the portion of zipper tape onto the platform (column 8, lines 11-13), positioning a section of the web above the platform (column 6, lines 12-20; column 10, lines 12-17), positioning a sealing head (352) over the web section for heating the web section (column 10, lines 18-20), and elevating the platform towards the web section until the portion of zipper tape comes into contact with the web section (the zipper platform 326 is elevated from a loading station 340 to a sealing station 348 where the zipper comes into contact with the film), thereby forming a seal between at least a portion of the portion of the zipper tape and the web section (column 10, lines 18-23).

As to claim 7, the sealing head is in contact with the web section when the portion of the zipper tape comes into contact with the web section (column 10, lines 18-23). As to claim 8, the web section is perforated (column 5, lines 59-65). As to claim 9, the perforation is performed by a knife positioned above the web section (cutter 124 column 5, line 64 to column 6, line 11; see figure 1). As to claim 10, the web section is perforated prior to the portion of zipper tape being sealed to the web section (column 5, line 58 to column 6, line 20; see figure 1). As to claims 11 and 12, the seal is substantially airtight and watertight (column 10, lines 34-44). As to claim 14, the method is repeated to seal a plurality of zipper tapes to the web and further comprising

Art Unit: 1733

the step of winding the resulting web onto a winder (column 2, lines 3-13; column 12, lines 45-48).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 13. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 14. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 15. Claims 1-5 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Blohowiak (US Patent No. 6,003,582) as set forth above in view of Ishikawa (US Patent No. 4,594,925).

Blohowiak shows a method of making a reclosable plastic bag by feeding a zipper tape having at least one splotched portion and cutting the zipper tape in the splotched portion to form an individual zipper (column 8, lines 7-34). It is known in the mechanical arts to provide sensors for sensing material in order to cut the material at a

Application/Control Number: 10/039,527

Art Unit: 1733

desired location as an equivalent alternative to "traveling" the material as far as it can to actuate the cutter as shown in Blohowiak (column 8, lines 16-19) and additionally in order to conform with differing zipper lengths for more accurate cutting. For example, Ishikawa discloses it is known in the cutting art for cutting fastener strips to provide a sensor (detecting station 1) for detecting a thinner portion or gap area of the material to be cut and a cutter (cutting station 3) for cutting the material at the thinner portion or gap area in correspondence to output from the sensor (column 2, lines 8-59). More specifically, the detector detects the thinner portion or gap of the fastener material and actuates the cutter to cut the material in the location of the thinner portion or gap. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide the method and apparatus of cutting and sealing zipper lengths in Blohowiak with a sensor for detecting the splotched portion (thinner portion or gap) of the zipper and cutting the zipper in response to a signal from the sensor as it is well known in the cutting arts to provide a sensor and a cutter in correspondence with the sensor in order to cut the material at the location of the splotched portion as exemplified by Ishikawa as an equivalent alternative to the method in Blohowiak and additionally in order to conform with differing zipper lengths for more accurate cutting.

As to claims 2 and 3, the sensor in Ishikawa determines thickness of the thinner area or gap area by comparing the thickness to a reference predetermined thickness (the thicker portions of the material). Furthermore, it is well known in the art to provide sensors for determining material thickness and it would have been well within the purview of one of ordinary skill in the art to use such sensors for detecting the splotched

Page 7

Art Unit: 1733

portions of the zippers, only the expected results would be attained. As to claim 4, Blohowiak discloses splotching the zipper tape multiple times to create a series of splotches along the length of the zipper tape (column 7, lines 20-26). As to claim 5, Blohowiak discloses sealing the selected portion of the zipper tape to a web (column 10, lines 18-21).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gladys J Piazza Corcoran whose telephone number is (703) 305-1271. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8am-5:30pm (alternate Fridays off).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Ball can be reached on (703) 308-2058. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

Ġládys J Piázza Corcoran

Examiner Art Unit 1733

GJPC